

**IMPACT ON HEDGE FUNDS OF JOINT BILL OF HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS AND
SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEES ON OFFSHORE TAXATION**

On October 27, 2009, the House Ways and Means and Senate Finance Committees' Democratic members introduced the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act of 2009 (H.R. 3933 and S. 1934), a joint legislative proposal on offshore taxation. The bill, immediately endorsed by President Obama and Treasury Secretary Geithner, includes a series of hedge-fund-related provisions. For instance, the proposal would require any person who derives gross income in excess of \$100,000 from providing material aid, assistance or advice to a U.S. individual in the movement of assets to an offshore entity, whether a corporation, partnership or trust, to file a "material advisor" tax return reporting the activity. The proposal would require withholding on "dividend equivalent" payments made to foreign persons pursuant to equity swaps on U.S. stocks. The foreign bank account reporting (FBAR) regime would be substantially expanded. The bill would impose additional withholding taxes on payments made to offshore hedge funds, banks and other financial institutions that do not agree to provide reporting as to taxable U.S. clients with interests in offshore funds or accounts. Additional provisions would amend current tax rules applicable to foreign trusts and foreign-issued bearer bonds. The provisions of the proposal would have varying effective dates.

Reporting Required by Persons Assisting in the Forming or Acquiring of Non-U.S. Entities.

Under the bill, a "material advisor" assisting a U.S. individual in a "foreign entity transaction" would be required to file an information return disclosing the identities of the assisted person and the entity. A "foreign entity transaction" is defined as the *direct or indirect* acquisition of any interest in a foreign entity (including any interest acquired in connection with the formation of such entity) if any citizen or resident of the United States is required to report the transaction under certain Internal Revenue Code sections. These Code sections require reporting of transfers to certain foreign corporations, certain foreign partnerships and certain foreign persons, reporting of organizations or reorganizations of certain foreign corporations, and reporting of the existence of interests in certain foreign partnerships and certain foreign trusts. Under the proposed legislation, a person who provides any material aid, assistance or advice with respect to one or more "foreign entity transactions" and who receives, in total, directly or indirectly more than \$100,000 from such advice in a single calendar year would be a "material advisor" and would be required to report. Penalties for failing to file would be the greater of \$10,000 or 50 percent of the gross income derived by such person with respect to such advice.

Dividend Equivalent Payments. The bill would treat a "dividend equivalent" as a dividend from U.S. sources, for certain purposes. A "dividend equivalent" is defined as a payment made under a "notional principal contract" (a term that includes most swaps) if that payment is contingent upon, or determined by reference to, the payment of a dividend from sources within the United States. The U.S. Treasury Department would be authorized to expand this definition and to exempt from reporting those types of transactions lacking potential for tax avoidance. The withholding obligation would be on a gross basis, such that a party might be subject to

withholding even if no net payment were due under the terms of the swap. Similar “dividend equivalent” withholding tax provisions on swaps have been included in other recent legislative tax proposals.

FBAR. The bill would expand the FBAR regime that was so disruptive during 2009. Under the proposal, a new category, the “specified foreign financial asset,” would be created so as to require reporting of depository and custodial accounts at foreign financial institutions and, to the extent *not* held in a financial institution, stocks or securities issued by a foreign person, any other financial instrument or contract held for investment that is issued or held by a foreign counterparty and any interest in a foreign entity. The bill expands FBAR reporting requirements beyond the current FBAR rules (which are not replaced by this proposal and would remain in effect), which generally do not require reporting of direct ownership of most stocks and securities. Under the bill’s requirements, an individual with an interest in such assets would be required to report the accounts annually if the aggregate value of such accounts were in excess of \$50,000, with no minimum value threshold if the values were not demonstrated. The reporting would not be required if the account is with a U.S. financial institution, and specific positions need not be reported if the account holding the positions itself were reported. The penalties for failure to report would be substantial: \$10,000 annually, plus an additional \$10,000 per month (up to a total of \$50,000) for failing to report despite the mailing of an IRS notice signaling noncompliance. Also, underpayments of tax due to undisclosed foreign financial assets would be subject to a 40% penalty on the underpayment of tax. The statute of limitations for reporting income associated with such assets would be extended to six years in many cases, and the running of the statute would be suspended during periods of nonreporting. In related provisions, a shareholder in a passive foreign investment company (PFIC) would be required to report such ownership annually. The U.S. Treasury would be given broadened authority to require electronic filing of withholding returns.

Withholding for Certain Non-U.S. Accounts. The proposal would require a 30-percent tax on a “withholdable payment” made to a “foreign financial institution” unless the institution had entered into an agreement with the U.S. Treasury to report the identities, account balances and account transaction activity of clients that are “specified U.S. persons” or that are foreign entities with one or more “substantial U.S. owners” that are “specified U.S. persons.” For this purpose, an “account” also includes any equity or debt interest in the financial institution that is not regularly traded on an established securities market, except as otherwise provided by the U.S. Treasury. “Withholdable payments” would include amounts historically subject to withholding, such as U.S. source interest, dividends and similar types of income, but would now also include withholding on portfolio interest and on gross proceeds on the sale of any asset that could produce U.S.-source interest or dividends. “Foreign financial institutions” would include foreign entities engaged primarily in investing or trading in securities or commodities, such as hedge funds and private equity funds, and foreign entities accepting deposits in the ordinary course of a banking business or holding financial assets for others. “Specified U.S. persons” for this purpose would not include tax-exempt institutions, individual retirement plans, mutual funds, real estate investment trusts, publicly traded companies and their affiliates, or banks, among others. A “substantial U.S. owner” is defined as a more than 10-percent owner of a foreign corporation or

partnership, or an owner of any portion of a grantor trust, but with respect to any foreign entity that is primarily engaged in investing or trading in securities or commodities, including hedge funds and private equity funds, and is thus considered to be a “foreign financial institution,” any ownership interest by a “specified U.S. person,” including a U.S.-organized feeder fund, would cause such foreign entity to have “substantial U.S. owners.” Under the proposal, the agreements with the U.S. Treasury would also need to include agreements to comply with IRS requests for information regarding such accounts and agreements to seek a waiver from foreign law that would otherwise prevent such reporting (with the account to be closed if no such waiver were obtained). A “foreign financial institution” would have the option to forego this process and to agree to report as if it were a U.S. entity subject to U.S. information reporting rules. The provision would also require withholding of 30-percent on any “withholdable payment” paid to any nonfinancial foreign entity unless the entity certifies that it is not substantially U.S.-owned or provides the identity of each substantial U.S. owner. An exception applies for payments beneficially owned by certain nonfinancial foreign entities, including a corporation the stock of which is regularly traded on an established securities market or an affiliate thereof.

Under the proposal, if an offshore hedge fund, private equity fund, bank or other “foreign financial institution” is the beneficial owner of amounts withheld as “withholdable payments,” such withheld amounts would not be creditable or refundable at all unless the “foreign financial institution” is eligible for benefits under a tax treaty with the United States. Many offshore funds, including master funds in certain master-feeder structures, would be subject to dramatic new withholding tax requirements under this provision, unless they were to agree to enter into such agreements with the U.S. Treasury to provide the required information. It is not difficult to imagine that these efforts will be resisted, including by other governments, but there seems to be real resolve in Washington, including on the part of the President, to employ tough measures to curb perceived opportunities to avoid tax through foreign accounts and investments.

These provisions would be effective for payments made after 2010, and would not apply to payments made under obligations outstanding as of the date of the first Committee action (a Committee vote) on the proposal if the payor would be required to increase payments under the terms of the obligation.

Foreign Trusts, Foreign Bearer Bonds. The proposal also includes a series of provisions relating to the use of foreign trusts and to foreign-issued bearer bonds.

* * * * *

If you have any questions regarding this memorandum, please contact Joseph A. Riley (212-728-8715, jriley@willkie.com) or the attorney with whom you regularly work.

Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP is headquartered at 787 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY 10019-6099. Our telephone number is (212) 728-8000 and our facsimile number is (212) 728-8111. Our website is located at www.willkie.com.

October 29, 2009

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Service, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Copyright © 2009 by Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP.

All Rights Reserved. This memorandum may not be reproduced or disseminated in any form without the express permission of Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP. This memorandum is provided for news and information purposes only and does not constitute legal advice or an invitation to an attorney-client relationship. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained herein, Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP does not guarantee such accuracy and cannot be held liable for any errors in or any reliance upon this information. Under New York's Code of Professional Responsibility, this material may constitute attorney advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.