

ACQUISITION FINANCE

USA



Acquisition Finance

Consulting editors

Sarah B Gelb, Charlotta Chung, Han Rhee, Viktor Okasmaa, Andres C Mena

Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP

Quick reference guide enabling side-by-side comparison of local insights, including into general structuring of financing; guarantees and collateral; debt commitment letters and acquisition agreements; enforcement of claims; insolvency; and recent trends.

Generated 15 March 2023

The information contained in this report is indicative only. Law Business Research is not responsible for any actions (or lack thereof) taken as a result of relying on or in any way using information contained in this report and in no event shall be liable for any damages resulting from reliance on or use of this information. © Copyright 2006 - 2023 Law Business Research

Table of contents

GENERAL STRUCTURING OF FINANCING

- Choice of law
- Restrictions on cross-border acquisitions and lending
- Types of debt
- Certain funds
- Restrictions on use of proceeds
- Licensing requirements for financing
- Withholding tax on debt repayments
- Restrictions on interest
- Indemnities
- Assigning debt interests among lenders
- Requirements to act as agent or trustee
- Debt buy-backs
- Exit consents

GUARANTEES AND COLLATERAL

- Related company guarantees
- Assistance by the target
- Types of security
- Requirements for perfecting a security interest
- Renewing a security interest
- Stakeholder consent for guarantees
- Granting collateral through an agent
- Creditor protection before collateral release
- Fraudulent transfer

DEBT COMMITMENT LETTERS AND ACQUISITION AGREEMENTS

- Types of documentation
- Level of commitment
- Conditions precedent for funding
- Flex provisions
- Securities demands
- Key terms for lenders
- Public filing of commitment papers

ENFORCEMENT OF CLAIMS AND INSOLVENCY

Restrictions on lenders' enforcement

Debtor-in-possession financing

Stays and adequate protection against creditors

Clawbacks

Ranking of creditors and voting on reorganisation

Intercreditor agreements on liens

Discounted securities in insolvencies

Liability of secured creditors after enforcement

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Proposals and developments

Contributors

USA



Sarah B Gelb
sgelb@willkie.com
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER^{LLP}



Charlotta Chung
cchung@willkie.com
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP



Han Rhee
hrhee@willkie.com
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP



Viktor Okasmaa
vokasmaa@willkie.com
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP



Andres C Mena
amena@willkie.com
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP

GENERAL STRUCTURING OF FINANCING

Choice of law

What territory's law typically governs the transaction agreements? Will courts in your jurisdiction recognise a choice of foreign law or a judgment from a foreign jurisdiction?

The most common choice of US law for credit agreements and bond indentures is the law of the State of New York, and most broadly syndicated acquisition financings are governed by New York law.

Where an acquisition agreement is governed by the law of a state other than New York (for instance, many merger and acquisition agreements are governed in whole or in part by Delaware law), acquisition financing commitments will agree that the satisfaction of the conditions precedent in the merger or acquisition agreement (for example, that the acquisition has closed in accordance with the terms of the applicable agreement) will be interpreted in accordance with the law governing the acquisition agreement (in this case, Delaware), but require that all actions against or involving the financing sources or the acquisition financing commitment be brought in a New York court (with any matter involving the acquisition financing itself being interpreted in accordance with New York law).

New York courts will generally give effect to a choice of New York law in any contract involving in excess of \$250,000, whether or not the parties thereto have any reasonable relationship to the State of New York, pursuant to section 5-1401 of the New York General Obligations Law .

New York courts will generally give effect to an express choice of non-US law in a contract, unless the chosen jurisdiction has no substantial relationship to the parties or the transaction and there is no other reasonable basis for the parties' choice, or application of the law of the chosen jurisdiction would be contrary to a fundamental policy of another jurisdiction (which may include the State of New York) that has a materially greater interest than the chosen jurisdiction.

New York courts will also generally recognise as valid and enforce a final and conclusive judgment granting or denying the recovery of a sum of money, other than judgments for taxes, fines or other penalties, rendered by a non-US court that is enforceable under the laws of the relevant non-US jurisdiction, without re-examination of the substantive issues underlying the judgment pursuant to the Uniform Foreign-Country Money Judgments Recognition Act, as adopted in 2021 in the State of New York (article 53 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules). However, New York courts will not enforce judgments rendered under a system that does not provide impartial tribunals or procedures compatible with the requirements of due process of law or which did not have personal jurisdiction over the defendant. In addition, New York courts may decline to recognise judgments obtained by fraud, judgments under causes of action deemed repugnant to New York public policy, judgments issued by courts that did not have subject-matter jurisdiction, judgments where the defendant did not receive sufficient notice, judgments conflicting with other final judgments, judgments in situations where the parties had an agreement to settle the matter outside of a court, judgments where jurisdiction was based solely on personal service and the foreign court was a seriously inconvenient forum, and defamation judgments in jurisdictions that do not provide at least as much freedom of speech and press protections as provided under the US and New York constitutions.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Restrictions on cross-border acquisitions and lending

Does the legal and regulatory regime in your jurisdiction restrict acquisitions by foreign entities?
Are there any restrictions on cross-border lending?

In most cases, US law does not restrict acquisitions by foreign entities or cross-border lending. Such acquisitions and

loans are routine. In certain industries and areas deemed sensitive, however, acquisitions and investments by foreign persons and entities may be subject to review under US federal law, particularly national security laws that are reviewed by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States. There also are restrictions and enhanced reporting that apply when there is foreign ownership in certain industries (eg, airlines, broadband licences and wireless satellite networks, banking and nuclear power) and of certain assets such as real property.

In addition, all acquirers, borrowers, guarantors and lenders (foreign or domestic) are required to comply with applicable anti-money laundering, sanctions and anti-corruption laws.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Types of debt

What are the typical debt components of acquisition financing in your jurisdiction? Does acquisition financing typically include subordinated debt or just senior debt?

Acquisition financings in the United States take multiple forms, depending on the size of the transaction and the relative availability of different forms of debt.

In investment grade transactions, most acquisition financing is in the form of unsecured bank loans (often this is in the form of bridge loans, which are intended to be replaced relatively quickly by a subsequent issuance of unsecured and less costly notes or long-term unsecured bank loans) or unsecured notes.

In non-investment grade transactions, including private equity-led buyouts, the debt component will often include senior secured term loans arranged by bank or non-bank arrangers that are syndicated to institutional investors. These senior secured term loans also may be divided into first- and second-lien tranches, which may be syndicated to different groups of institutional investors. In middle-market transactions, term loans may be incurred as a unitranche facility, where the lenders provide the borrower a single-tranche term loan and agree among themselves as to the division of economics, including the priority of payments and collateral proceeds. In many middle-market deals (and increasingly in large-cap transactions), the lenders are not banks and the loans are not syndicated.

In addition, non-investment grade acquisition financings may also include notes issued to investors via either a registered securities offering or, more commonly, a private placement under Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. Such notes may be senior secured (and vary in terms of lien priority), senior unsecured or subordinated. Because the successful placement of a note offering is never certain, buyers will customarily obtain bridge term loan commitments from one or more arrangers in an aggregate amount up to the expected proceeds of the contemplated note offering. Where the note offering is partially or (in rare cases) completely unsuccessful, the bridge term loan will be funded at the closing of the acquisition to make up the shortfall in debt capital available to the buyer to consummate the acquisition. Such bridge commitments are expected by sellers, to eliminate any adverse impact, including an inability to consummate the acquisition, from a less-than-completely successful note offering prior to closing.

Mezzanine financing (eg. subordinated debt, which may have an equity component) is also found in middle-market and smaller acquisition financings, but it is a less common component of larger acquisition transactions and there is quite a bit of variation in economic and covenant terms.

Typical acquisition financing packages also will include a revolving credit facility, which may be in the form of either an asset-based lending facility or a secured or unsecured cash-flow revolving credit facility; a cash-flow revolving credit facility will often be documented in the same credit agreement along with the term loan facility. Such facilities may be unsecured in investment-grade transactions or may be secured by all assets on an equal (pari passu) basis to the senior secured term loan or on a senior basis to other financing (such as a second-lien term loan). In an asset-based lending revolving credit facility, the facility will be secured by a first-priority lien on specific assets, such as receivables

or inventory. In a situation where acquisition financing has both an asset-based lending facility and secured term loans, there will typically be crossing liens, where the term loans will be secured on a first-priority lien on assets not securing the asset-backed facility.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Certain funds

Are there rules requiring certainty of financing for acquisitions of public companies? Have 'certain funds' provisions become market practice in other transactions where not required?

The concept of certain funds provisions (as understood in the United States) in acquisition financing commitment documentation has been widely adopted for public and private target companies. However, there are no rules or laws that require the inclusion of certain funds provisions in acquisition financing commitment documentation. Instead, a combination of sellers insisting on greater deal certainty and buyers wanting both deal certainty and to provide sellers with assurance that the buyer will have the financing needed to consummate the acquisition on the specified closing date has created an expectation that certain funds provisions will be included. In practice, almost all acquisition financings where there is an executory period between the signing date of the acquisition agreement and the closing date are consummated on a certain funds basis.

It is important to note that the concept of certain funds in the United States is different from the highly regulated concept of certain funds in the United Kingdom and other European jurisdictions. In the United States, certain funds typically consist of what is known as 'SunGard conditionality', in which the conditions precedent to the closing of the acquisition financing are linked as closely as possible to the conditions precedent to the closing of the underlying acquisition. Specifically, this includes limiting the representations and warranties that are required to be accurate as a condition to funding the acquisition financing to (1) the representations in the acquisition agreement about the target business, which if not true would permit the buyer to terminate the acquisition (such as the absence of a material adverse effect), and (2) certain fundamental representations in the credit agreement, which if not true the lenders would be unwilling or unable to fund the acquisition financing (such as the valid existence of the borrower and solvency upon consummation of the acquisition and related financing). Other conditions precedent are limited to a relatively small set of market-accepted items, such as the delivery of certain audited and unaudited financial statements and the taking of limited actions for perfecting security interests. There is no requirement for confirmation of funds from a financial adviser.

Notably, most US acquisition agreements provide that the requirement to consummate the acquisition is subject to there not having been a material adverse change or material adverse effect (the exact terms and definitions thereof are highly deal-specific and carefully negotiated in each transaction) with respect to the target company, at the signing of the acquisition agreement and at closing. This condition is essentially duplicated without change in the related acquisition financing commitments. Ensuring that any such condition in the commitment documentation exactly mirrors the acquisition agreement language is crucial for the borrower. In addition, most US financing commitments are subject to the negotiation of definitive documentation. Sophisticated parties have limited the related conditionality through specifying precedent documents and detailed term sheets, but the concept of an interim facility agreement has not gained much, if any, traction.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Restrictions on use of proceeds



LEXOLOGY

Getting The Deal Through

© Copyright 2006 - 2021 Law Business Research

www.lexology.com/gtdt

7/22

Are there any restrictions on the borrower's use of proceeds from loans or debt securities?

Most credit agreements and note purchase agreements restrict the use of debt proceeds to enumerated items, which in the case of acquisition financings ordinarily includes the purchase price for the acquisition, any related refinancing, and associated fees and expenses. In the case of working capital facilities, borrowers typically are limited to using proceeds for general corporate purposes or working capital purposes, which are generally viewed as encompassing all legitimate uses of such proceeds by the borrower and its subsidiaries.

In addition, most credit agreements and note purchase agreements provide that the borrower and its subsidiaries may not use the proceeds of the loans in violation of any sanctions, anti-money laundering or anti-corruption laws (in addition to containing requirements that the borrower comply with all other relevant laws). Moreover, margin regulations promulgated by the Federal Reserve Board limit the use of borrowed money for purposes deemed to involve the purchase or carrying of 'margin stock' – broadly defined to include all publicly traded equity securities, as well as securities convertible into publicly traded equity and related options – including, in many cases, debt secured by liens on margin stock. As a result, many credit facilities exclude margin stock from collateral granting clauses. Loans that are to be secured by margin stock require careful regulatory analysis to ensure compliance with the margin regulations.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Licensing requirements for financing

What are the licensing requirements for financial institutions to provide financing to a company organised in your jurisdiction?

Various US federal and state regulations apply to financial institutions seeking to provide financing in the United States. As may be expected, these regulations are most stringent with respect to banks based in the United States and foreign banks seeking to operate in the United States. The most prominent national bank regulators are the Federal Reserve Board, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, which share responsibility for regulating national banks. In addition, individual state-chartered banks are regulated by state banking regulators. Foreign banks seeking to operate in the United States must obtain a licence from a state regulator or the OCC, depending on the scope of services they wish to provide.

A large and increasingly important portion of acquisition financing in the United States is provided by non-bank arrangers and lenders, such as hedge funds, pension funds, commercial finance companies and affiliates of private equity sponsors. These institutions are not regulated in the manner of traditional banks (though there may be licensing requirements in certain states, and certain direct lenders are subject to securities regulations, exchange rules or other regulatory framework, depending on their sources of capital).

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Withholding tax on debt repayments

Are principal or interest payments or other fees related to indebtedness subject to withholding tax? Is the borrower responsible for withholding tax? Must the borrower indemnify the lenders for such taxes?

Payments by US borrowers to US lenders are not subject to withholding taxes.

Payments of interest (but usually not principal) by US borrowers to non-US lenders are subject to a 30 per cent

withholding tax (payable by the borrower) unless the payments are subject to an exception to withholding. The most commonly relied upon exceptions are the portfolio interest exemption (which is generally available where a lender delivers a valid certificate of its status as a non-US person to the borrower, is not the holder (or deemed holder) of 10 per cent or more of the equity of the borrower and is not carrying on a US trade or business) and the existence of a tax treaty between the United States and the jurisdiction where the relevant lender is domiciled that provides the lender with an exception to withholding. Most lenders rely on the portfolio interest exemption; however, non-US banks are not eligible for the portfolio interest exemption, and must rely on a tax treaty or, more commonly, establish and lend through a US branch.

Most US credit agreements provide that any withholding tax liability that exists on the closing date of the relevant loan is borne by the lender subject to that liability. Similarly, lenders that enter into loans by assignment are responsible for withholding liability that exists on the date of the assignment. However, if new withholding taxes are imposed as a result of a change of law after the closing date (or the date of assignment), the borrower is required to gross up the lender such that the interest payment the lender receives is the same as it would have been absent the change of law.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Restrictions on interest

Are there usury laws or other rules limiting the amount of interest that can be charged?

Usury is governed by state law. There is no federal usury law.

New York's usury statute provides that loans in an amount of \$2.5 million or more are exempt from its civil and criminal usury statutes. Loans below \$2.5 million (but above \$250,000) are subject to a criminal usury cap of 25 per cent per annum.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Indemnities

What kind of indemnities would customarily be provided by the borrower to lenders in connection with a financing?

In credit agreements, the borrower typically indemnifies the agents, lenders and their respective affiliates and representatives against all losses, claims, damages and expenses of any kind (whether brought by the borrower or a third party) arising from or relating to the loan documentation, the use of proceeds of the loans, any investigation or litigation in connection with the loan documentation or any other matter related to the making or administration or enforcement of the loan. Typically, the indemnity excludes matters arising from the gross negligence, bad faith or wilful misconduct of the indemnified party and material breaches by indemnified parties of the loan documentation, in each case as determined by a court of competent jurisdiction in a final, non-appealable judgment, as well as claims among indemnified parties (other than claims against an agent in its capacity as such). Such indemnities generally include reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses (including attorneys' fees, which are often limited to reasonable fees of one firm of lead counsel (and assorted special and conflicts counsels) for all similarly situated indemnified parties).

Holders of debt securities do not typically receive indemnities from the issuer. Trustees in note indentures typically receive indemnity from issuers consistent with (or sometimes even broader than) that provided to agents in credit agreements.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Assigning debt interests among lenders

Can interests in debt be freely assigned among lenders?

Loans in syndicated credit facilities are typically assignable, subject to a limited number of requirements, including:

- the assignment must be for more than a minimum amount (unless it is made to an affiliate or related fund of the assignor or is the full amount held by the assignor);
- the assignee must be 'eligible', meaning that it must not be a natural person, a defaulting lender (typically, an existing lender that has breached an obligation under the loan documents or has encountered insolvency difficulty of some sort), the borrower or one of its subsidiaries (unless required to retire the debt) or a disqualified lender (typically, a competitor or the business or an entity that is listed on a disqualified institutions list provided by the borrower on the closing date and updated thereafter);
- unless the assignee is an existing lender or an affiliate (or certain related fund) of an existing lender, so long as no event of default (sometimes this will be limited to no payment or bankruptcy event of default or other subset of events of default) has occurred and is continuing, the consent of the borrower (and sometimes the administrative agent, especially in the case of assignments of revolving loans) is required (for the borrower, consent is not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed, with any non-response for more than a specified period of days being deemed consent); and
- entry into an assignment and assumption agreement, which must be delivered to the administrative agent for recordation in its records (sometimes called a 'register').

Notes that are issued in a registered offering are generally freely transferable. Most acquisition financings are privately placed, however, and require transfers to be made in accordance with specific exemptions under the Securities Act of 1933, including the ability to transfer notes to Qualified Institutional Buyers, which encompasses most active participants in the high-yield bond market.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Requirements to act as agent or trustee

Do rules in your jurisdiction govern whether an entity can act as an administrative agent, trustee or collateral agent?

There are no specific rules governing administrative agents or collateral agents under New York or federal law.

Indenture trustees are governed under US securities laws, including the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, and must meet specific requirements set forth in that statute. In practice, there is a relatively small number of established indenture trustees (consisting of banks and non-bank entities) that act as trustee on the vast majority of note transactions.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Debt buy-backs

May a borrower or financial sponsor conduct a debt buy-back?

Most credit agreements provide borrowers and sponsors with the ability to purchase loans, subject to significant limitations.

In the case of borrowers, lenders are generally required to be repaid on a pro rata basis and at par. Credit agreements often provide, however, that the borrower may purchase term loans (which must be cancelled following purchase) on a non-pro rata basis for less than par pursuant to a Dutch auction made available to all lenders. Credit agreements will also commonly also allow borrowers to make open-market purchases of their term loans from individual lenders at prices to be negotiated on a bilateral basis.

In sponsor-led deals, the sponsor and its affiliates are usually permitted to purchase and hold up to a specified percentage of the outstanding term loans through Dutch auctions or open-market purchases. Term loans held by the sponsor and its affiliates are typically ignored in lender votes (with some exceptions, including in the case of adverse disproportionate treatment) and the sponsor is not entitled to attend lender meetings or receive lender-only information. These limitations typically do not apply to bona fide debt funds affiliated with the sponsor that are not under common day-to-day management with its private equity arm, although there may be some limitations on voting.

With respect to debt securities, issuers and their affiliates are generally able to purchase securities on the open market (but such securities may not be counted in holder votes) on a bilateral basis. Larger purchases, however, especially if a general solicitation is intended, must often comply with the tender offer rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Exit consents

Is it permissible in a buy-back to solicit a majority of lenders to agree to amend covenants in the outstanding debt agreements?

Typically, there are no restrictions on exit consents in credit agreements or note indentures. Certain exit consents have come under increased scrutiny to the extent a subset of holders is disadvantaged vis-à-vis other holders. Most operating and financial covenants can be amended or deleted with simple majority consent (though important exceptions exist and each desired amendment must be analysed under the terms of the relevant agreement).

Law stated - 12 January 2023

GUARANTEES AND COLLATERAL

Related company guarantees

Are there restrictions on the provision of related company guarantees? Are there any limitations on the ability of foreign-registered related companies to provide guarantees?

There are no restrictions on the ability of US entities to guarantee the obligations of related entities, other than any restrictions that would otherwise apply to debt incurrences by such guarantor entities or limitations on fraudulent transfers. To the extent that such guarantees are secured, standard filing fees and recording taxes may be payable, but these are not different from those that would be payable if the guarantor were providing security for its own debt (and not a guarantee).

Guarantees and grants of liens by foreign subsidiaries in support of the indebtedness of US borrowers may trigger tax consequences under the US Internal Revenue Code, although tax law changes have, in some cases, reduced or eliminated the negative effects of such actions for certain US corporate borrowers. Specifically, foreign subsidiaries that guarantee parent company debt may be deemed, for the purpose of federal taxation, to have paid a taxable dividend to the parent company in an amount equal to the greater of the earnings and profits of such subsidiary and the amount of debt guaranteed. Because no funds would actually have been repatriated in such a transaction, a deemed

dividend may result in tax liabilities to the parent company without the parent company having actually received any cash. The law provides a safe harbour that allows pledges of less than two-thirds of the voting equity (and 100 per cent of the non-voting equity) of first-tier foreign subsidiaries, but does not allow any guarantees by, or any liens on, the assets of such entities or any indirect foreign subsidiaries. Despite the aforementioned tax law changes, most US acquisition financings continue to not require guarantees or collateral from foreign entities beyond the safe harbour equity pledges.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Assistance by the target

Are there specific restrictions on the target's provision of guarantees or collateral or financial assistance in an acquisition of its shares? What steps may be taken to permit such actions?

No. US law is extremely flexible in allowing targets to guarantee and provide collateral for acquisition financing and the norm is for such support to be provided. No whitewash or similar procedure is required.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Types of security

What kinds of security are available? Are floating and fixed charges permitted? Can a blanket lien be granted on all assets of a company? What are the typical exceptions to an all-assets grant?

Blanket liens on personal property – which is the general term for assets other than real property and includes accounts receivable, inventory, intellectual property, debt and equity securities, money, bank accounts, brokerage accounts, equipment, fixtures, contract rights, commercial tort claims, letter of credit rights and general intangibles and goods (a catch-all term for other personal property not included in the foregoing list), as well as proceeds thereof – are permitted in the United States. The norm in secured acquisition financings is for borrowers and guarantors to grant liens on substantially all of their assets as collateral for their obligations. As there is no distinction between fixed and floating charges on personal property in the United States, grants of security over personal property security routinely cover both presently owned and after-acquired assets.

The creation of a security interest in most forms of personal property is governed exclusively by state law, typically the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC). While the terms of the UCC vary slightly among states, it is, for the most part, uniform, with the UCC of each state permitting the creation of a valid security interest in personal property using a security agreement entered into under the laws of any other state (so long as such security agreement contains a clear description of the collateral, is signed by the grantor and contains a provision granting a security interest in the collateral to the secured party). Therefore, only a single security agreement (usually governed by the same state law as the related credit agreement) is required to create security interests in all UCC-governed personal property owned by a borrower and any guarantors in the United States. For perfection of a security interest in most kinds of personal property, the state law governing the security agreement typically will point to the terms of the UCC as adopted in the state where the obligor or property is located as the governing law.

In transactions where an all-assets lien is granted, typical exclusions to the grant of collateral include:

- assets where a security interest requires the consent of a third party (including the issuer of any equity interests or any other holder of equity interests of such entity) or governmental agency;
- assets as to which a security interest would violate applicable law or binding contracts;
- deposit accounts containing funds held for the benefit of third parties;

- subject to changes in tax laws referenced above, equity interests in foreign subsidiaries (other than up to 65 per cent of the voting interests (and 100 per cent of any non-voting interests) in any foreign subsidiary directly held by a US entity);
- assets that require cumbersome perfection procedures in relation to their value, such as motor vehicles, aircraft, ships and railcars (depending, in each case, on the importance of such assets to the overall collateral package); and
- equity interests in certain non-guarantor subsidiaries.

Security interests in real property are also governed by state law, but there is significant variation among the states in the required terms of a real property mortgage (or, in some states, a deed of trust) and the laws applicable thereto. Generally speaking, the creation of a lien on real property is accomplished by having a mortgage or deed of trust executed by the grantor (that is, the property owner) and the secured party, which is then recorded with the local (usually county-level) recording office. Lenders and borrowers will typically hire local counsel (sometimes to be shared by the parties) in each jurisdiction where real property collateral is located to navigate local requirements. The time period for perfecting security interests in real property may be negotiated to take into account any local requirements.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Requirements for perfecting a security interest

Are there specific bodies of law governing the perfection of certain types of collateral? What kinds of notification or other steps must be taken to perfect a security interest against collateral?

Perfection of security interests in most forms of personal property is generally governed by the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) as adopted in each state. The UCC generally provides that such security interests over most personal property may be perfected by filing a UCC financing statement naming the debtor and secured party and providing a general description of the collateral with the appropriate state filing office. In the case of grants of collateral by corporations and similar entities formed in the United States, the appropriate filing office is that of the state of incorporation or formation of the relevant grantor.

Perfection of security interests in copyrights (and, by custom, patents and trademarks) requires filing with the US Copyright Office (or the US Patent and Trademark Office), in accordance with federal law. For perfection of security interests in deposit accounts, the UCC requires that either the secured party is the relevant depository bank or that the secured party, grantor and the depository bank enter into an agreement granting 'control' (as such concept is understood under the UCC) over such deposit accounts to the secured party. Various state and federal laws govern perfection of security interests in motor vehicles, aircraft, ships and railcars, with separate registries and perfection steps required for such categories. Mortgages in real property are perfected by recording such mortgages (or equivalent documents) with the local (usually county-level) recording office where the real property is located.

In addition to the perfection steps with respect to personal property described above, the UCC grants priority to liens in certificated securities and certain other investment property that are perfected by the delivery of such items (together with signed instruments of transfer) into the possession of the secured party. Consequently, such delivery is a required step in most US transactions for certificated securities and certain other investment property.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Renewing a security interest



LEXOLOGY

Getting The Deal Through

© Copyright 2006 - 2021 Law Business Research

www.lexology.com/gtdt

13/22

Once a security interest is perfected, are there renewal procedures to keep the lien valid and recorded?

Generally, the perfection of a security interest by filing a financing statement under the UCC in each state is valid for a term of five years from the initial filing date. If a security interest is to remain in effect for longer than five years, a continuation statement must be filed prior to the lapse of the existing filing. Typically, such a filing is made during the six months preceding the fifth anniversary of the initial filing date. It should be noted that a change in the name of the grantor or its jurisdiction of incorporation or formation will require the timely filing of an amendment financing statement (for a different name) or new financing statement (for a new jurisdiction) for the security interest to remain perfected.

Other forms of perfection (such as control or possession) generally remain in effect indefinitely (though state laws may differ in certain cases with respect to non-UCC governed property).

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Stakeholder consent for guarantees

Are there 'works council' or other similar consents required to approve the provision of guarantees or security by a company?

The United States does not have any equivalent of a works council. Ordinarily, only the consent of the board of directors or similar governing body of the guarantor or grantor would be required to provide a guarantee or security. Unlike the United Kingdom, the consent of an entity's shareholders or other equity holders is typically not required for the entity to provide a guarantee or security.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Granting collateral through an agent

Can security be granted to an agent for the benefit of all lenders or must collateral be granted to lenders individually and then amendments executed upon any assignment?

Yes. Security is commonly granted to a single agent (either an administrative agent or collateral agent) or trustee in US financings. Such common security is held for the benefit of all lenders and, if applicable, other 'secured parties'.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Creditor protection before collateral release

What protection is typically afforded to creditors before collateral can be released? Are there ways to structure around such protection?

Most credit agreements and indentures allow releases of collateral in connection with permitted dispositions of collateral. Release of collateral that is not permitted to be disposed of typically require the consent of an agreed percentage of the lenders or noteholders. The release of all or substantially all of the collateral typically requires the consent of all lenders or, in some cases, a substantial majority thereof.

In the case of secured notes, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (TIA) may be implicated in releases of collateral. It is

common to structure secured notes as second lien or otherwise junior in right of security, so that releases of collateral may be approved by the first lien lenders and binding on the second lien noteholders and trustee

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Fraudulent transfer

Describe the fraudulent transfer laws in your jurisdiction.

The US Bankruptcy Code provides that a transfer (which includes the incurrence or guarantee of indebtedness, the granting of a lien and the transfer of assets) may be avoided if it occurred within two years of the filing of a bankruptcy petition and the debtor, voluntarily or involuntarily:

- made such transfer with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud any creditor; or
- received less than a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for such transfer or obligation; and
 - was insolvent on the date that such transfer was made or became insolvent as a result of such transfer;
 - such transfer resulted in the debtor having unreasonably small capital to engage in its business; or
 - the debtor intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts that would be beyond the debtor's ability to pay as such debts matured.

Each state also has its own fraudulent transfer laws, which may also be applied in bankruptcy proceedings, and which generally include longer look-back periods (typically as long as four years) than the Bankruptcy Code.

For many states, these fraudulent transfer laws may be based on the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act (UFTA) or the more recent Uniform Voidable Transactions Act (UVTA), which endeavoured to address certain issues that had been identified in or arisen under the UFTA. New York a few years ago adopted the UVTA and, as such, the voidable transactions law in New York now varies only slightly from the law adopted in most other states.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

DEBT COMMITMENT LETTERS AND ACQUISITION AGREEMENTS

Types of documentation

What documentation is typically used in your jurisdiction for acquisition financing? Are short-form or long-form debt commitment letters used and when is full documentation required?

Acquisition financing documentation can be divided into components required upon signing of the acquisition agreement and those required upon the closing of the acquisition.

At the signing of the acquisition agreement, the financing documentation typically consists of:

- a commitment letter pursuant to which the signatory lenders commit to provide the financing and which governs the syndication process, indemnities and confidentiality provisions, among other things;
- term sheets attached to the commitment letter detailing the economic and other terms of the loans, including representations and warranties to be made, covenants and exceptions and events of default, and specifying the conditions precedent to funding;
- one or more fee letters, setting forth the fees payable and often including flex provisions and, if a securities offering is contemplated, securities demand provisions; and
- in transactions that contemplate a securities offering, an engagement letter setting forth the terms of such

offering (including fees payable and credits available in connection therewith).

The definitive documentation for the acquisition financing is typically not prepared until after the acquisition agreement has been signed. Parties rely on the terms of the commitment letter (including any provisions setting forth an agreed precedent and 'ground rules' for the definitive documentation) for comfort that the final documentation will be prepared in time for closing.

At the closing of the acquisition, the acquisition financing documentation generally would include:

- one or more credit agreements;
- related security and guarantee agreements, pledge agreements and other ancillary agreements;
- an intercreditor agreement in a transaction with different classes of creditors; and
- in a notes transaction, a purchase agreement, indenture, relevant security and guarantee agreements, if any, and notes.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Level of commitment

What levels of commitment are given by parties in debt commitment letters and acquisition agreements in your jurisdiction? Fully underwritten, best efforts or other types of commitments?

The vast majority of acquisition financing commitments are fully underwritten at the insistence of both buyers and, especially, sellers. Anything less than a binding commitment for 100 per cent of the needed financing is unlikely to be acceptable. Although best efforts commitments do exist, they are not typically used in acquisition financings because of the risk that no financing will be available upon closing.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Conditions precedent for funding

What are the typical conditions precedent to funding contained in the commitment letter in your jurisdiction?

Common conditions precedent in acquisition financings include the following:

- completion of the acquisition in accordance with the acquisition agreement, without any waivers or amendments to the acquisition agreement that are adverse to the lenders without consent (which is often required not to be unreasonably withheld);
- accuracy of the representations in the acquisition agreement that are material to the interests of the lenders (to the extent that the failure of such representations to be accurate would allow the buyer to decline to close the transaction);
- delivery of a solvency certificate stating that the combined company is solvent after giving effect to the acquisition and incurrence of the financing;
- that no material adverse effect has occurred with respect to the target company (the wording of this condition precedent and the definition of 'material adverse effect' will be the same as that in the acquisition agreement);
- obtaining any required equity financing and other debt financing to complete the transaction;
- repayment of any debt not permitted to exist following the closing;
- delivery of agreed financial information, including audited and pro forma unaudited financial statements;

- delivery of signed loan documents, certificates and legal opinions;
- delivery of required know your customer information;
- payment of all fees and expenses borne by the borrower;
- in secured deals, perfection of liens, including delivery of any required securities certificates (limited by 'certain funds' provisions);
- provision of a marketing period or an 'inside date' prior to which the transaction may not close; and
- in notes deals, provision of necessary marketing documentation (or information necessary to prepare such documentation).

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Flex provisions

Are flex provisions used in commitment letters in your jurisdiction? Which provisions are usually subject to such flex?

Market flex provisions are common in broadly syndicated loans, as they allow the borrower to press the market for aggressive terms, while permitting the commitment parties to provide more lender-favourable terms in situations where such adjustments are deemed necessary to ensure a successful syndication (normally defined as the arrangers and their affiliates holding 50% of the term loan). These terms are highly negotiated, vary significantly from deal to deal and are among the most closely guarded trade secrets of the arranging entities. Common provisions subject to market flex include pricing, sunsets of most favoured nation provisions, covenant baskets, prepayment requirements, prepayment premiums and length of term. In contrast, there is no flex (or syndication) for direct lending deals.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Securities demands

Are securities demands a key feature in acquisition financing in your jurisdiction? Give details of the notable features of securities demands in your jurisdiction.

Securities demand provisions are common in acquisition financings including debt securities. In such transactions, because of the complexity of placing debt securities, arrangers typically provide a bridge commitment consisting of an agreement to make term loans to the buyer on the closing date of the acquisition in an amount equal to the expected proceeds of the proposed securities issuance. While the bridge loans are not intended to be funded, they provide both seller and buyer comfort that a failure to place all of the anticipated debt securities between signing of the acquisition agreement and the closing of the acquisition will not result in the buyer being unable to pay the acquisition consideration.

Because lenders are typically loath to fund a bridge facility, they reserve the right to compel the buyer to issue debt securities to the lenders, either to fund the acquisition instead of borrowing bridge facility loans or quickly replace the bridge facility loans that were borrowed to fund the acquisition. This right is usually exercisable by the lenders either at closing (or, rarely, prior to closing in the form of an escrow funding) or for a period after closing (to refinance a funded bridge facility), which is usually one year, in one or more (subject to a cap) separate demands. The relevant demand provisions will specify whether such debt securities are to be registered or privately placed and the overall nature of the debt securities (though the terms of demand securities are often similar to the terms of the bridge facility they are replacing with respect to security and ranking). Customarily, demand provisions limit the pricing of such securities to an agreed total cap on yield and set forth the expected range of maturity dates and economic features (such as call protection and minimum issuance amount per demand), as well as other material terms of the securities.

Key terms for lenders

What are the key elements in the acquisition agreement that are relevant to the lenders in your jurisdiction? What liability protections are typically afforded to lenders in the acquisition agreement?

In most acquisition financing commitments, because some of the conditions precedent (namely, the 'no material adverse effect' condition and the accuracy of representations and warranties condition) are based on or mirror certain parts of the acquisition agreement, and also because the lenders expressly agree that the acquisition agreement is acceptable to them, lenders are careful to review those conditions precedent and a few other provisions in the acquisition agreement. Some areas of focus are the representations regarding the acquisition financing and the covenants that the buyer will maintain its financing commitments and will act to obtain the financing on the terms set forth therein in time for the closing of the acquisition. The provisions of acquisition agreements that require the seller and target to cooperate with the buyer in connection with the financing, and the inclusion of a marketing period or inside date (ie, a date prior to which the acquisition may not close), are also important to lenders, as the expectation is that the loans (or notes) will be syndicated (or placed) during the period between signing of the acquisition agreement and the closing of the acquisition, which requires the assistance of the seller and target in most cases, as well as sufficient time to market the debt.

In addition, lenders typically insist on lender-protective 'Xerox provisions' in acquisition agreements. These provisions specify that all actions arising under the acquisition agreement involving the lenders will be maintained in the jurisdiction and using the choice of law (usually New York) specified in the financing commitment letter, even if the acquisition agreement specifies different choices; trial by jury is waived by all parties in such actions; the lender is expressly exempt from liability to the seller or target (and that any provision limiting recourse to a reverse breakup fee payable by the buyer also protects the lenders); and the foregoing provisions may be enforced by, and may not be amended without the consent of, the lenders.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Public filing of commitment papers

Are commitment letters and acquisition agreements publicly filed in your jurisdiction? At what point in the process are the commitment papers made public?

Commitment letters and acquisition agreements are only made public in transactions where the buyer or seller is a public reporting company and the transaction is required to be disclosed in accordance with US securities laws. In the case of acquisition agreements, if the transaction is sufficiently material to warrant disclosure, either buyer or seller or both may publicly file the acquisition agreement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Commitment letters are not viewed to be material agreements with respect to a seller (as the seller is not a party) but, in situations where they constitute a material agreement of the buyer, the buyer would file the commitment letter and term sheet (but not any associated fee letter or engagement letter, which may include sensitive deal terms) with the SEC.

Material acquisition agreements are typically filed with the SEC promptly following the entry into such agreements pursuant to a filing on Form 8-K, which also includes a description of the relevant transaction. The Form 8-K might also disclose entry into a material commitment letter, but the commitment letter is not usually filed until the buyer's next scheduled quarterly or annual report.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

ENFORCEMENT OF CLAIMS AND INSOLVENCY

Restrictions on lenders' enforcement

What restrictions are there on the ability of lenders to enforce against collateral?

Prior to a bankruptcy filing the only limitations on enforcement are set forth in either the security documentation or the statutory restrictions included in the authorising statutes (such as the UCC requirement that all enforcement actions be conducted in a commercially reasonable manner). Following a bankruptcy filing, most enforcement and other creditor actions are automatically stayed and prohibited without the leave of the bankruptcy court.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Debtor-in-possession financing

Does your jurisdiction allow for debtor-in-possession (DIP) financing?

Yes. The US Bankruptcy Code provides that a debtor in bankruptcy may enter into DIP financing, which must be approved by the Bankruptcy Court. Such financing is often entered into with existing lenders and, with their consent, benefits from superpriority liens on the existing collateral ahead of the existing debt.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Stays and adequate protection against creditors

During an insolvency proceeding is there a general stay enforceable against creditors? Is there a concept of adequate protection for existing lien holders who become subject to superior claims?

There is an automatic stay imposed in connection with all debtors in bankruptcy, which is enforceable against almost all creditors. In the event that a debtor seeks to diminish the value of any collateral held by a pre-petition creditor, it must provide adequate protection to such creditor. This is customarily given in the form of replacement liens, expense reimbursements, post-petition interest payments and non-economic benefits.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Clawbacks

In the course of an insolvency, describe preference periods or other reasons for which a court or other authority could claw back previous payments to lenders. What are the rules for such clawbacks and what period is covered?

Under the US Bankruptcy Code, transfers of interests in a debtor's property for the benefit of a creditor (which may include payments but also granting or perfection of liens) occurring within the 90 days (one year in the case of creditors deemed to be insiders) preceding the filing of a bankruptcy petition may be avoided as preferences (and clawed back) if:

- such transfer is made on account of a debt that existed before the time of the transfer (an antecedent debt – newly incurred debt is by definition not preferential);
- the debtor was insolvent at the time of the transfer; and
- such transfer would allow the creditor to receive more than it would have in a liquidation under Chapter 7 of the

Bankruptcy Code if the transfer had not been made (in practice, this means that most payments to secured creditors would not be avoidable).

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Ranking of creditors and voting on reorganisation

In an insolvency, are creditors ranked? What votes are required to approve a plan of reorganisation?

In a bankruptcy, each group of similarly situated creditors (and equity holders) is included in a class of claims. In general, the order of priority is for secured claims to be paid first out of the relevant collateral. After secured claims are satisfied, administrative claims (such as the expenses of the bankruptcy proceeding and the costs of continuing to run the business during the bankruptcy proceeding and DIP financing) are paid. Following administrative claims, unsecured claims are addressed, with certain priority claims (such as taxes and pre-petition wages) being paid before general unsecured creditors (such as unsecured lenders, trade creditors, judgments and other amounts owed). Remaining amounts, if any, flow to the equity. All claims are subject to any agreements among creditors (or equity holders) or legal provisions allocating recoveries among the parties.

A plan of reorganisation may be approved with the vote of either each class of creditors or, so long as at least one 'impaired' class of creditors (that is, a class that is not receiving full payment on its claims or is otherwise accepting changes to its rights against the bankrupt entity) has approved the plan, through a cram down, where a plan is confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court over the objections of one or more dissenting classes. For a class to support the plan, over two-thirds of the class (by monetary amount) and over half of the class (by number of claims) must vote in favour of the plan.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Intercreditor agreements on liens

Will courts recognise contractual agreements between creditors providing for lien subordination or otherwise addressing lien priorities?

The Bankruptcy Code allows for intercreditor agreements and subordination agreements, and these are commonly enforced in and out of bankruptcy. Certain provisions of such agreements, however, have been successfully challenged by junior creditors in Bankruptcy Court, especially where such provisions are deemed to be overreaching.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Discounted securities in insolvencies

How is the claim of an original issue discount (OID) or discount debt instrument treated in an insolvency proceeding in your jurisdiction?

Generally, OID is allocated across the life of the relevant instrument. Any portion attributable to a period subsequent to the filing of bankruptcy is deemed to be unmatured interest, which is not collectable under the Bankruptcy Code.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Liability of secured creditors after enforcement

Discuss potential liabilities for a secured creditor that enforces against collateral.

Enforcement by a creditor against collateral that is personal property would be subject to the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) as adopted in the state where the obligor or property is located. Even if the relevant security agreement provides a creditor wide latitude when taking enforcement action, the UCC at minimum imposes a duty of commercial reasonableness on every aspect of collateral disposition, including conducting a public or private sale, accepting collateral in partial or complete satisfaction of the secured obligation, involving the judicial system and collecting on account of or redeeming collateral.

In addition, a creditor that is seeking to enforce against collateral should review any relevant security agreements and intercreditor agreements, to confirm that it has not contractually agreed to limitations or requirements regarding the collateral, especially if the debt held by the creditor is junior debt.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Proposals and developments

Are there any proposals for new legislation or regulation, or to revise existing legislation or regulation? If so, please give a reference to any written material, whether official or press reports. Are there any other current developments or trends that should be noted?

As of December 2022, loans based on Term SOFR have become the norm. It has become common for minor amendments for legacy deals to include provisions changing from LIBOR to Term SOFR.

On 13 July 2022, the Uniform Law Commission approved the final draft of its joint proposal with the Emerging Technology Committee of the American Law Institute for amendments to the Uniform Commercial Code regarding digital assets. After adoption of the final proposal, which itself will take some more time, it will be up to each state to individually enact legislation adopting the final proposal (and any state-specific variations).

In 2022, especially the second half of 2022, the portion of acquisition financings supported by direct lenders increased significantly in both deal number and dollar amount, as the high-yield debt markets faced challenges. Direct lenders also teamed up with traditional syndicated lenders to provide acquisition financing. While it remains to be seen whether these trends will continue, direct lenders likely will continue to be a significant source of debt capital for acquisition financings for the foreseeable future.

Law stated - 12 January 2023

Jurisdictions

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|
|  | France | Stephenson Harwood LLP |
|  | Hungary | Wolf Theiss |
|  | Japan | Miura & Partners |
|  | Luxembourg | Vandenbulke |
|  | Mexico | Von Wobeser y Sierra, SC |
|  | Netherlands | CMS Netherlands |
|  | Spain | King & Wood Mallesons |
|  | Switzerland | Lenz & Staehelin |
|  | United Kingdom | Fried Frank Harris Shriver & Jacobson LLP |
|  | USA | Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP |